ACTION GROUP ON FREE CIVIC SPACE PROTECTION FROM INTERNET FALSEHOODS, MANIPULATIONS AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS **BILL, 2019**

MEMORANDUM SUBMITTED TO THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY, HUMAN RIGHTS AND LEGAL MATTERS

The Action Group on Free Civic Space represents a loose network oforganizations, student unions, social movements and active citizens working on different thematic issues, but committed to ensuring that government regulation in the name of national security does not shrink the civic space in Nigeria.

Introduction:

In 2016, Senator Mohammed Sani Musa introduced the "Protection from Internet Falsehoods and Manipulations and Other Related Matters Bill 2019", popularly known as the Social Media Bill (SMB). Among other things, the bill is promising to prevent the transmission of false statements/declaration of facts in Nigeria and enable measures to be taken to counter the effects of such transmission. Havingscaled through the first and second reading on November 5, 2019 and November 20, 2019 respectively, the **Action Group on Free Civic Space (AGFCS)** submits this memorandum to the Senate Committee on Judiciary, Human Rights and Legal Matters, in order to inform its deliberations and consideration of the Bill.

This memorandum outlines FOUR (4) major observations:

- 1. The SMB contains numerous provisions that run contrary to constitutional guarantees of free speech and fair comment protected under national laws.
- 2. The SMBreproduces new rules and regulations already covered by existing legislations.
- 3. Numerous law enforcement mechanisms for curbing cybercrimes exist. There is no need to replicate this function
- 4. The language used in framing offences is overly broad with the potential to criminalize vast swaths of honest expressions, services and conduct.
- 1. The Social Media Bill contains numerous provisions that run contrary to constitutional guarantees of free speech and fair comment protected under national laws

As has been upheld in a long line of cases by Nigeria's Supreme Court, particularly in **Joseph Din Vs. African Newspapers of Nigeria Ltd.** (Suit No 44/1986, delivered 25th day of May, 1990) JSC Obaseki held as follows:

'the right to comment freely on matters of public interest is one of the fundamental rights of free speech guaranteed to the individual in our Constitution. It is so dear to the Nigerian

and of vital importance and relevance to the rule of law which we so dearly treasure for our personal freedom.'

Citizens are generally entitled to fair comment in public and private discourses on matters of national importance and public interest. The right to fair comment is concomitant to the right to free speech guaranteed under Section 39 of the Nigerian Constitution. Those who comment fairly, in good faith and without malice, are protected from civil liability even where such comments are found to be defamatory, exaggerate or inexact. It is only when the comment was not made honestly, or that it was actuated by malice that the defence of fair comment will be defeated.

It is conceded that the right to discuss matters of public concern, does not confer liberty to make defamatory or false statements. It is for this reason Nigeria already enacted various legislations such as Section 373 of the Criminal Code, the Defamation Act, 1961 among others, prohibitingthe making of false statements that hurt the reputation of another. In light of the above, the SMB's blanket criminalization of various forms of declaration of facts presumed to be false, runs afoul of constitutional guarantees of free speech and fair comment protected under the constitution and several national laws cited above.

2. The SMB reproduces new rules and regulations already covered by existing law

The SMB reproduced certain sections of the Cybercrimes Act verbatim, with only minimal modifications here and there. The reproduced sections include Section 22 subsection 2, 3 (a-d) and 4 of the Cybercrimes Act, Section 24, Section 26 (1) a-d, Section 40 and so forth. The above provisions of the Cybercrimes Act are far-reaching, covering issues pertaining to the transmission of false statements, use of parody accounts to transmit false communication, soliciting and receiving any service to facilitate the transmission of false statements and the obstruction of justice. It went further to impose stiffer penalties for any breach of these provisions. Sections 1, 3, 4 and 5 of the Social Media Bill merely regurgitated these provisions of the Cybercrimes Act, with minimal modifications.

Apart from the Cybercrimes Act of 2015, other national laws proscribing the transmission of false statements include Sections 114, 392, 399 and 416 of Penal Code Act, Chapter (CAP) 53 of the Laws of the Federation of Nigeria (LFN) 1990, Sections 51, 373, and 375 of Criminal Code Act, Cap 77, LFN 1990; Defamation Act 1961, among several laws.

The SMB also aims to criminalize and punish comments capable of inciting enmity or hatred towards a person or group of persons, or cause ill will between different groups of persons. Along this line, the constitutional protection against non-discrimination is broad, encompassing discrimination on several grounds including ethnic origin, place of origin, sex, religion or political opinion. Not only that, S. 50 (2) of the Criminal Code creates the offense of sedition. A "seditious

intention" has been defined to include various statements that incite hatred or contempt or excite disaffection against the person of the President or of the Governor of a State or the Government of the Federation; or to raise discontent or disaffection amongst the citizens or other inhabitants of Nigeria. As the above provisions demonstrate, there is no need to enact a new law to regulate issues already addressed by numerous existing legislations.

3. Numerous law enforcement mechanisms for curbing cybercrimes exist. There is no need to replicate this function

A number of law enforcement agencies are statutorily mandated to tackle cybercrime. They include the Cybercrime Advisory Council, the National Computer Forensic Laboratory, the National Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) Coordination Center and the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC). More specifically, Nigeria constituted the 31-person Cybercrime Advisory Council to tackle rising criminal activities and to protect the nation's cyberspace.

Offences relating to ethnic hatred or statements causing ill-will between persons and groups infringe Nigeria's constitutional protections for the rights to life, privacy and non-discrimination, bringing any violations against such rights within the purview of judicial and quasi-judicial bodies such as the various state high courts, Federal High Court, National Human Rights Commission(NHRC) and so forth.

4. The language used in framing offences is overly broad with the potential to criminalize vast swaths of honest expressions, services and conduct

The bill is replete with vague phrases framed around the protection of <u>national security</u>, <u>public health</u>, <u>public safety</u>, <u>public finances</u>, <u>bilateral relations with other countries or influencing the outcome of elections to any office and so forth</u>. Further aggravating the <u>situation</u>, the interpretation section of the bill is silent on the definition of these (underlined) terms. The language equally used in framing offences in the bill is overly broad that any legitimate, honest expression during a social discourse can be easily stretched to come underthe ambit of the stipulated offences.

Where the benchmarks for measuring compliance or violation are missing, legal provisions could be prone to abuse, especially by interpreting or applying them beyond the original intendment of the law in order to justify crackdowns on civil society, including targeted attacks on activists, journalists, bloggers, and civil society organizations. The closing spaces database—www.closingspaces.org—documents a number of cases where overly broad statutory provisions were invoked to justify the arrest and prosecution of bloggers on account of their online expressions on social media. This antecedent fuels fears that the Social Media Bill might be misused to pursue and achieve similar objectives.

Not only that, the use of overly broad provisions in statutes inappropriately delegates legislative powers to the judicial branch. In such situations, judges are impelled by the circumstances to create meaning out of vague and overbroad legal rules, thereby inducing them to assume the responsibilities of another organ of government. This practice violates the principles of separation of powers, the pillar on which the tenets of our hard-worn democracy rests on.

For the above reasons, we opposite this bill in its entirety and recommend as follows:

Conclusion:

- Strengthen the capacities of existing law enforcement agencies statutorily mandated to tackle cybercrime, by providing them with adequate human resources and infrastructure needed to both enhance their technical, investigative and intelligence-gathering skills and strengthen coordination among them.
- Accelerate the implementation of existing cybercrime laws and policies, especially the Cybercrimes (Prohibition, Prevention, etc) Act 2015, and the National Cyber Security Policy and Strategy, adopted on the 5th of February, 2015
- Ensure the conformity of Nigeria's cybercrime and cybersecurity laws and policies with regional and international human rights standards.

For further enquiries, please contact:

1. ANEEJ: Africa Network for Environment and Economic Justice

ADAI: **Ambassadors for Development Initiative**

 CARA: Cara Development Foundation
 CEEHOPE: Centre for Children's Health Education, Orientation and Protection
 CCIDESOR: Citizens Centre for Integrated Development and Social Rights Centre for Children's Health Education, Orientation and Protection

6. CODAF: **Community Development Advocacy Foundation** The Committee for Defense of Human Rights 7. CDHR:

Comfort Literacy Intervention and Capacity Enhancement Foundation 8. CLICE:

9. CAD: **Communities Alliance against Displacement**

10. CPEYD: Centre for Peace, Environment and Youth Development

11. CCG: **Centre for Constitutional Governance** 12. CHRIFACAF: Christian Fellowshio and Care Foundation

13. ERA/FoEN: Environmental Rights Action/Friends of the Earth Nigeria

14. EVA: **Education as a Vaccine**

15. ERM: **Environmental and Rural Mediction Centre (ENVIRUMEDIC)**

16. EECA: **Edo Electricity Consumers Association** 17. ERA: **Environmental Rights Action**

18. FCS: **Foundation for Civilised Society** 19. FARDEM: **Family Resources Development Motivators**

20. FLAD: Foundation for Livelihood Advancement

21. GWA: **Good Women Association**

22. GPBN: Guild of Professional Bloggers of Nigeria

23. GR: Global Rights

24. GWA: Good Women Association

25. GPBN: Guild of Professional Bloggers of Nigeria

26. HCI: Habitat Care Initiative

27. IYO: Indomitable Youths Organisation
28. IPS: Initiative for Peace and Stability
29. KIF: KoyenumImmalah Foundation
30. NGG: Network for Good Governance

31. NAOS: National Association of Okpe Students

32. NNF: New Nigeria Foundation

33. N-COGEP-D: Concerned for Environment Population and Development in Nigeria

34. NAKSS: National Association of Kano State Students
35. OAISD: Open Arms Initiative for Sustainable Development

36. OSNE: Organisation for the Sustenance of the Nigerian Environment

37. OSH: Open Spaces Hub

38. PCEI: Peace and community Empowerment Initiative

39. PWAN: Partners West Africa Nigeria
40. PWYP: Publish What you Pay, Nigeria
41. P.I.: Partners for Justice

41. PJ: Partners for Justice
42. RIC: Rural Initiative for Change

43. RUCEi: Rural Community Empowerment Initiative
44. RYPC: Rural Youth Peace and Care Foundation

45. RULAAC: Rule of Law and Accountability Advocacy Center
46. SERAP: Social and Economic Rights Accountability Project

47. STER: Stand to End Rape 48. S4C: SPACES FOR CHANGE

49. SGIF: Saint Gregory Initiative Foundation
50. SYDF: Students and Youths Dedicative Forum

51. TCB: Town Crier Blog

52. ULPDI: Unique Love for Persons with Disabilities Initiatives

53. VRC: Value Rebirth and Empowerment Initiative (Value Rebirth Centre)

54. VHF: Virgin Heart Foundation 55. VSI: Vision Springs Initiatives

56. WIDEF: World Impact Development Foundation
57. WLDI: Women Liberty and Development Initiative

58. WANGONeT: West African NGO Network

59. WILSIA: Women Initiative for Leadership Strategy and Innovation in Africa

60. YEAC: Youths and Environmental Advocacy Centre

61. ACSGBV: Association against Child Sexual and Gender Based Violence

62. A-CODE: Agents of Communication and Development
63. CPDI: Community Peace Development Initiative

64. CT: Centre for Transparency

65. CPEJ: Centre for Peace and Environmental Justice

66. DOI: Development Outreach International

67. DICI: Development Initiative for Community Impact
68. ENSNET: Enugu State Network of Civil Society Organizations

69. HWE: Health Wealth Empowerment

70. IGWE: Initiative for Girls and Women Enlightenment

71. LMN: LOVE MATTERS NAIJA

NELCCI: New Life Community Care Initiative
 NYP: The Nigerian Youth Parliament.
 OLCD: One Love Community Development
 PCIC: Parent-Child Intervention Centre

76. PIN: Probity in Nigeria

77. PEF: Peaceworld Educational Foundation78. PACE: Partnership for Civic Engagement

79. RYF: Rural Youth Foundation

80. REED CENTRE: Rights Empowerments Education and Development Centre

81. RADI: Rescue Ambassadors Development Initiative

82. UNRS: United Noble Rescue Services
83. VSO: National Youth Engagement Network
84. YVAN: Young Visioneers Association of Nigeria

85. YFSC: Youth Forum for Social Change 86. CLP: Community Life Project, Lagos

87. RN: Reclaim Naija

